In general:


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta \omega_{p q}=\eta \sum_{\mu} \delta_{p}^{\mu} V_{q}^{\mu} & \text { off - line } \\
\Delta \omega_{p q}=\eta \delta_{p}^{\mu} V_{q}^{\mu} & \text { on - line }
\end{array}
$$

## Back - Propagation Algorithm

- Incremental update
- Consider a network with M layers and denote ( $m=0 \ldots . \mathrm{M}$ )

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{m}} \equiv & \text { otput of } \mathrm{i} \text {-th unit of layer } \mathrm{m} \\
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{m}} \equiv \quad & \begin{array}{l}
\text { weight on the connection between } \mathrm{j} \text {-th neuron } \\
\\
\text { of layer } \mathrm{m}-1 \text { and } \mathrm{i} \text {-th neuron in layer } \mathrm{m}
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

## Back - Propagation Algorithm

1. Initialize the weight to (small) random values
2. Choose a pattern $\bar{x}$ and apply it to the input layer ( $\mathrm{m}=0$ )

$$
V_{k}^{0}=x_{k}^{\mu} \quad \forall k
$$

3. Propagate the signal forward:

$$
V_{i}^{m}=g\left(h_{i}^{m}\right)=g\left(\sum_{j} w_{i j} V_{j}^{m-1}\right)
$$

4. Compute the $\delta$ 's for the output layer:

$$
\delta_{i}^{M}=g^{\prime}\left(h_{i}^{M}\right)\left(y_{i}^{M}-V_{i}^{M}\right)
$$

5. Compute the $\delta$ 's for all preceding layers:

$$
\delta_{i}^{m-1}=g^{\prime}\left(h_{i}^{m-1}\right) \sum_{j} w_{j i}^{m} \delta_{j}^{m}
$$

6. Update connection weights:

$$
w_{i j}^{\text {NEW }}=w_{i j}^{O L D}+\Delta w_{i j} \quad \text { where } \quad \Delta w_{i j}=\eta \delta_{i}^{m} V_{j}^{m-1}
$$

7. Go back to step 2 until convergence


Gradient descent on a simple quadratic surface (the left and right parts are copies of the same surface). Four trajectories are shown, each for 20 steps from the open circle. The minimum is at the + and the ellipse shows a constant error contour. The only significant difference between the trajectories is the value of $\eta$, which was $0.02,0.0476,0.049$, and 0.0505 from left to right.

## Momentum Term

Gradient descent may :

- converge too slowly if $\eta$ is small
- oscillate if $\eta$ is too large

Simple remedy :

$$
\Delta \omega_{p q}(t+1)=-\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{p q}}+\alpha \Delta \psi_{p q}(t)
$$

momentum

The momentum term allows us to use large values for the "learning rate" $\eta$ thereby avoiding oscillatory phenomena

Usually: $\alpha=0.9 \quad \eta=0.5$


Gradient descent on the simple quadratic surface. Both trajectories are for 12 steps with $\eta=0.0476$, the best value in the absence of momentum. On the left there is no momentum ( $\alpha=0$ ), while $\alpha=0.5$ on the right.

## Local Minima

Back-prop cannot avoid local minima.

Choice of initial weights it's also important to avoid this problem. If they are too large, the sigomoids tend to saturate since the beginning of the learning process.

Heuristic $\longleftrightarrow$ Choose initial weights as $w_{i j} \cong 1 / \sqrt{k_{i}}$
where $k_{i}$ is the number of units that feed unit $i$ ( the "fan-in" of $i$ )

## NETtalk System



NETtalk neural network speech synthesizer. The NETtalk backpropagation network is trained by a rule-based expert system element of the DECtalk commercial speech synthesis system. NETtalk is then used to replace that element. The result is a new speech synthesis system that has approximately the same overall performance as the original. In other words, the NETtalk neural network becomes functionally equivalent to an expert system with hundreds of rules. The question then becomes: how are these rules represented within the NETtalk neural network? The answer is: nobody really knows.

## The NETtalk architecture



## NETtalk

- A network to pronounce English text
- $7 \times 29$ input units
- 1 hidden layer with 80 hidden units
- 26 output units encoding phonemes
- Trained by 1024 words with context
- Produce intelligible speech after 10 training epochs
- Functionally equivalent to DEC-talk
- Rule-based DEC-talk is the result of a decade of efforts by many linguists
- NETtalk learns from examples, and requires no linguistic knowledge


## Character Recognition

Isolated handwritten digits taken from postal zip codes

## Character Recognition: Approach

- Preprocessing

Scaling $\quad$ 16 $\times 16$ gray level images

- Network

256 input units, 3 hidden layers, 10 output units
Gray level image is fed into the network


## First Hidden Layer: H1

- 12 groups of 64 units arranged in 12 independent $8 \times 8$ feature maps.
- Each unit in a feature map takes input from a $5 \times 5$ neighborhood in the input image.
- Units that are 1 pixel apart in the feature map are 2 pixel apart in the input image.
- Each unit in a group performs the same operation
 weights and 1 bias.
$12 \times 8 \times 8=768$ units
$768 \times 25+768=19968$ connections
$25 \times 12+768=1068$ independent parameters


## Second Hidden Layer: H2

- 12 groups of 16 units arranged in 12 independent $4 \times 4$ feature maps.
- Each unit combines local information coming from 8 of the 12 feature maps in H 1 (200 inputs to each unit).

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1.1 | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | x | x |
| H1.2 | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| H1.3 | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H1.4 | x | x | x | x | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H1.5 |  |  |  | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |  |  |  |
| H1.6 |  |  |  | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |  |  |  |
| H1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| H1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| H1.9 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| H1.10 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| H1.11 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |
| H1.12 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ |

$12 \times 4 \times 4=192$ units
$192 \times 200+192=38592$ connections
$12 \times 200+192=2592$ independent parameters

## Rest of the Network

- Third Hidden Layer: H3

30 units fully connected to $\mathrm{H} 2,5790$ connections

- Output Units

10 units fully connected to $\mathrm{H} 3,310$ connections

- Total specification

1256 units
64660 connections
9760 independent parameters

