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1 Introduction

BANANA is a tool for the analysis of information leakage in mobile agent specifications. The language considered is Mobile Ambient calculus, initially proposed by Cardelli and Gordon with the main purpose of explicitly modeling mobility [5]. Sites and agents (i.e., processes) are modeled as nested boxes (i.e., ambients), provided with capabilities for entering, exiting and dissolving other boxes. This specification language provides a very simple framework to reason about information flow and security when mobility is an issue [1].

The main features of BANANA are:

- A textual and graphical editor for Mobile Ambients, to specify and modify the process by setting ambient nesting capabilities and security attributes in a very user-friendly fashion.
- A parser which checks for syntax errors and builds the syntax tree out of the Mobile Ambient process.
- An analyzer which computes an over approximation of all possible nestings occurring at run-time. The tool supports two different control flow analyses, namely the one of Nielson et al. [6] and the one by Braghin et al. [1].
- A post-processing module, that interprets the results of the analysis in terms of the boundary-based information-flow model proposed in [1], where information flows correspond to leakages of high-level (i.e., secret) ambients out of protective (i.e., boundary) ambients, toward the low-level (i.e., untrusted) environment.
- A detailed output window reporting both the analysis and the security results obtained by the post-processing module, and some statistics about the computational costs of the performed analysis.

* Partially supported by MIUR Project “Modelli formali per la sicurezza”, the EU Contract 1ST-2001-32617 “Models and Types for Security in Mobile Distributed Systems”, and project “Matematica per le scienze e la tecnologia”, Università di Trieste.
The Mobile Ambient calculus has been introduced in [5] with the main purpose of explicitly modeling mobility. Indeed, ambients are arbitrarily nested boundaries which can move around through suitable capabilities. The syntax of processes is given as follows, where $n \in \textbf{Amb}$ denotes an ambient name.

$$P, Q ::= (vn) P \text{ restriction} \quad | \quad n^t[P] \quad \text{ambient}$$

$$0 \quad \text{inactivity} \quad | \quad \text{in}^t n.P \quad \text{capability to enter } n$$

$$P \mid Q \quad \text{composition} \quad | \quad \text{out}^t n.P \quad \text{capability to exit } n$$

$$| P \quad \text{replication} \quad | \quad \text{open}^t n.P \quad \text{capability to open } n$$

Intuitively, the restriction $(vn)P$ introduces the new name $n$ and limits its scope to $P$; $P \mid Q$ is parallel; replication provides recursion and iteration. By $n^t [P]$ we denote the ambient named $n$ with the process $P$ running inside it. The capabilities $\text{in}^t n$ and $\text{out}^t n$ move their enclosing ambients in and out ambient $n$, respectively; the capability $\text{open}^t n$ is used to dissolve a sibling ambient $n$. Labels on ambients and on transitions are introduced as it is customary in static analysis to indicate “program points”.

The operational semantics of a process $P$ is given through a suitable reduction relation $\rightarrow$ and a structural congruence $\equiv$ between processes. Intuitively, $P \rightarrow Q$ represents the possibility for $P$ of reducing to $Q$ through some computation (see also [5]).

For instance, let $P_1$ be a process modeling an envelope sent from venice to warsaw:

$$\textit{venice} [\textit{envelope} [\textit{out} \textit{venice}.\textit{in} \textit{warsaw}.0] \mid Q] \mid \textit{warsaw} [\textit{open} \textit{envelope}.0]$$

Initially, $\textit{envelope}$ is in site $\textit{venice}$. Then, it exits $\textit{venice}$ and enters site $\textit{warsaw}$ by applying its capabilities $\textit{out} \textit{venice}$ and $\textit{in} \textit{warsaw}$, respectively. Once site $\textit{warsaw}$ receives $\textit{envelope}$, it reads its content by consuming its $\textit{open} \textit{envelope}$ capability. Finally, process $P_1$ reaches the state: $\textit{venice} [0] \mid \textit{warsaw}[Q]$.

To deal with security issues, information is classified into different levels of confidentiality. This is obtained by exploiting the labelling of ambients. In particular, the set of ambient labels is partitioned into three disjoint sets: $\textit{high}$, $\textit{low}$ and $\textit{boundary}$ labels. Ambients labelled with boundary labels ($\textit{boundary ambients}$) are the ones responsible for confining confidential information. Information leakage occurs if a high level ambient exits a boundary, thus becoming possibly exposed to a malicious ambient attack. For instance, let $P_2$ be an extension
of process $P_3$, in which the envelope contains confidential data $hdata$ (labelled high) which needs to be safely sent from venice to warsaw.

$$venice^b\left[ envelope^b [\text{out}^c \text{venice.in}^c \text{warsaw.0}] \mid hdata^b [\text{in}^c \text{translator.0}] \right] \mid$$
$$\text{warsaw}^b [\text{open}^c \text{envelope.0}] \mid \text{translator}^b [\text{in}^c \text{envelope.0}] \mid \text{open}^c \text{translator}$$

In this case, venice, warsaw and send must be labelled boundary to protect $hdata$ during the whole execution. (See [1, 2] for more detail.)

The tool verifies that in every execution of process $P$ no direct information leakage occurs, by implementing the control flow analyses described in [6] and [1]. Both analyses aim at modeling the possible nesting of processes occurring at runtime. The analysis presented in [1] is a refinement of [6]: it separately considers nestings inside and outside boundaries, thus leading to a more accurate result with respect to security issues.

3 Tool Overview

A screen-shot of the BANANA tool is shown in Figure 1, while Figure 2 gives an overview of the architecture of the tool.
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**Fig. 1.** Screen-shot of the BANANA tool.

A user can edit the process to be analyzed by using either the Textual or the Graphical Editor. The security labelling (i.e., the labels denoting untrusted, confidential, and boundary ambients) can be inserted directly by the user, or automatically derived by the tool during the parsing phase. In the latter case, ambients starting with letter 'b' are labelled boundaries, while ambients starting with 'h'
are labelled high. By selecting an item in the Project Explorer window, the user can check/modify the properties of the ambient/capability. The user can also check the syntax correctness of the process by selecting the Parsing button.

The user can then choose to launch either the Nielson or the Braghin et al. analysis. Once the analysis has started the tool parses the process, builds a syntax tree and computes the fix point algorithm yielding an over-approximation of all possible ambient nestings. The result of the analysis is reported in the Output Console as a list of pairs of labels.

By post-processing the analysis results, BANANA reports in the file Protective the sure absence of information leakages.

The BANANA tool has been accurately tested using a suite of use cases consisting of processes differing in the size and number of capabilities. It is available on-line at the following address:

http://www.dsi.unive.it/~dbraghin/banana/

4 Conclusion

BANANA is a very user-friendly tool for the analysis of information leakage in Mobile Ambient specifications.

It allows to compare two different control flow analyses, i.e., the one by Nielson et al. and the one by Braghin et al., run on non-trivial examples. This also shows the feasibility of these analyses, that have polynomial time and space complexities.

Another interesting issue is the scalability of this tool: as an on-going work we are currently extending BANANA to computationally more efficient analyses, as the one in [3] that has improved time and space complexities. This task is particularly simple, because of the modularity of the object-oriented Java technology.

The scalability is also possible toward variants of the Mobile Ambient calculus and extensions of the analysis, such as the one of [4] where a minimal set of security boundaries is inferred.
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